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Context: There is consensus that autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is accompanied by differences in neuroanatomy. However, the neural substrates of ASD during adulthood, as well as how these relate to behavioral variation, remain poorly understood.

Objective: To identify brain regions and systems associated with ASD in a large, well-characterized sample of adults.

Design: Multicenter case-control design using quantitative magnetic resonance imaging.

Setting: Medical Research Council UK Autism Imaging Multicentre Study (MRC AIMS), with sites comprising the Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London; the Autism Research Centre, University of Cambridge; and the Autism Research Group, University of Oxford.

Participants: Eighty-nine men with ASD and 89 male control participants who did not differ significantly in mean age (26 and 28 years, respectively) and full-scale IQ (110 and 113, respectively).

Main Outcome Measures: (1) Between-group differences in regional neuroanatomy assessed by voxel-based morphometry and (2) distributed neural systems maximally correlated with ASD, as identified by partial least-squares analysis.

Results: Adults with ASD did not differ significantly from the controls in overall brain volume, confirming the results of smaller studies of individuals in this age group without intellectual disability. However, voxelwise comparison between groups revealed that individuals with ASD had significantly increased gray matter volume in the anterior temporal and dorsolateral prefrontal regions and significant reductions in the occipital and medial parietal regions compared with controls. These regional differences in neuroanatomy were significantly correlated with the severity of specific autistic symptoms. The large-scale neuroanatomic networks maximally correlated with ASD identified by partial least-squares analysis included the regions identified by voxel-based analysis, as well as the cerebellum, basal ganglia, amygdala, inferior parietal lobe, cingulate cortex, and various medial, orbital, and lateral prefrontal regions. We also observed spatially distributed reductions in white matter volume in participants with ASD.

Conclusions: Adults with ASD have distributed differences in brain anatomy and connectivity that are associated with specific autistic features and traits. These results are compatible with the concept of autism as a syndrome characterized by atypical neural “connectivity.”
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Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a lifelong neurodevelopmental condition affecting approximately 1% of the population and is characterized by a triad of symptoms in impaired social communication, social reciprocity, and repetitive/stereotypic behavior. There is consensus that people with ASD have differences in brain anatomy. However, the specific neural substrates of ASD, and how these relate to behavioral variation in adulthood, remain poorly understood.

Evidence that individuals with ASD have neuroanatomic abnormalities comes from a variety of postmortem and structural neuroimaging studies. For example, it has been reported that people with ASD have increased brain volume and weight, which affects both gray matter and white matter. These gross anatomic differences are most prominent during early postnatal life and childhood and may be less apparent during adolescence and adulthood. The suggestion that individuals with ASD also have anatomic differences in specific brain regions and systems is supported by autopsy and in vivo studies. For example, differences have been described in the cerebellum, amygdala-
between groups. Hence, large sample sizes of well-characterized individuals are required to reliably detect subtle and spatially diffuse differences in brain anatomy. Furthermore, spatially unbiased multivariate (ie, multivoxel) approaches may complement VBM in characterizing brain abnormalities associated with ASD at the systems level.

Finally, most studies of ASD understandably have focused on children. However, this means that we know relatively little about the large population of adults with ASD who are increasingly receiving a diagnosis.

For this reason, we carried out a multicenter study on a large, well-characterized sample to test the primary hypothesis that adults with ASD have abnormalities in brain anatomy that differentiate them from adults serving as controls. On the basis of the literature reviewed herein, we predicted that individuals with ASD are significantly different from control individuals in a large-scale neural network comprising (1) the frontothalamic-striatal system, (2) the frontotemporal circuitry, and (3) the frontocerebellar network.

Regional between-group differences were investigated using a traditional VBM approach. To identify large-scale gray matter systems (comprising multiple voxels) maximally associated with ASD, we used the statistical method of partial-least squares analysis. Last, we tested the subsidiary hypothesis that differences in regional gray matter volume are associated with variation in specific autistic symptoms.

### METHODS

Eighty-nine male right-handed adults with ASD and 89 matched neurotypical male controls aged 18 to 43 years were recruited by advertisement and subsequently assessed at 1 of 3 collaborating autism research centers in the United Kingdom that make up the Medical Research Council UK Autism Imaging Multi-centre Study (MRC AIMS) Consortium: the Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London; the Autism Research Centre, University of Cambridge; and the Autism Research Group, University of Oxford. Approximately equal ratios of cases to controls were recruited at each site: London, 41:41; Cambridge, 30:32; and Oxford, 18:16.

Exclusion criteria for all participants included a history of major psychiatric disorder, head injury, genetic disorder associated with autism (eg, fragile X syndrome and tuberous sclerosis), or any other medical condition affecting brain function (eg, epilepsy). We excluded potential participants who were abusing drugs (including alcohol) and individuals taking antipsychotic medication, mood stabilizers, or benzodiazepines. All participants with ASD were diagnosed according to International Statistical Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) research criteria confirmed using the Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised (ADI-R) to ensure that all participants with ASD met the criteria for childhood autism. All cases of ASD reached ADI-R algorithm cutoff values in the 3 domains of impaired reciprocal social interaction, communication, and repetitive behaviors and stereotyped patterns, although failure to reach cutoff in one of the domains by one point was permitted (see Table 1 for details).

Current symptoms were assessed using the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS) but were not used as inclusion criteria. We also assessed autistic traits in both case and control participants, using the Autism Spectrum Quotient. Overall

### PARTICIPANTS

#### Table 1. Participant Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristica</th>
<th>ASD Group (n = 89)</th>
<th>Control Group (n = 89)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>26 (7) [18-43]</td>
<td>28 (6) [19-46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-scale IQ, WASI</td>
<td>110 (15) [73-135]</td>
<td>113 (12) [77-137]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance IQ, WASIb</td>
<td>107 (16) [73-138]</td>
<td>115 (12) [76-135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal IQ, WASI</td>
<td>110 (14) [77-139]</td>
<td>109 (13) [71-141]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI-R totalc</td>
<td>37 (9) [20-57]</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI-R Socialc</td>
<td>18 (5) [9-29]</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI-R Communicationc</td>
<td>14 (4) [8-25]</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI-R Repetitive Behaviorc</td>
<td>5 (2) [2-12]</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOS totald</td>
<td>10 (5) [1-23]</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOS Sociald</td>
<td>5 (3) [1-15]</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOS Communicationd</td>
<td>3 (2) [0-7]</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOS Repetitive Behaviord</td>
<td>1 (1) [0-6]</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQe</td>
<td>30 (8) [4-46]</td>
<td>15 (6) [3-34]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ADI-R, Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised; ADOS, Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule; AQ, Autism Spectrum Quotient; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; WASI, Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence.

a There were no significant differences between the ASD and control groups in age, full-scale IQ, or verbal IQ at P < .05 (2-tailed).

b There was a significant difference in performance IQ (P < .01).

c Information was available for all 89 individuals with ASD. The following cutoff scores were used: ADI-R Social, greater than 10; Communication, greater than 8; and Repetitive Behavior, greater than 3.

d Communication plus Social Interaction total score; information was available for 86 individuals with ASD, using a cutoff score of 7.

e Information was available for 86 individuals with ASD and for all 89 control participants; 2 individuals with ASD failed to reach the cutoff in the social domain by 1 point, and 8 individuals with ASD failed to reach the cutoff in the repetitive behavior domain by 1 point.

---

hippocampal complex, frontotemporal regions, and caudate nucleus. There is also preliminary evidence that anatomic differences are associated with variation in clinical symptoms. For instance, abnormalities in the (1) Broca and Wernicke areas have been related to impaired social communication and language; (2) frontotemporal regions and amygdala have been associated with abnormalities in socioemotional processing; and (3) orbitofrontal cortex and caudate nucleus (ie, frontostriatal system) may be linked to repetitive and stereotyped behaviors. These studies were important first steps and add weight to the suggestion that people with ASD have differences in brain anatomy that underpin symptoms.

However, our understanding of the putative relationship between ASD and the anatomy of specific brain regions has been hampered by nonreplication of findings. For example, the sizes of the cerebellum and amygdala have been variously reported to be normal, smaller, and larger. This variability probably arises because most studies were of relatively small, heterogeneous samples that differed in several key respects within and across participant groups (eg, diagnostic criteria, IQ, age, and the image analysis methods). Also, the investigation of a neural systems condition such as ASD requires a spatially unbiased analytical approach, such as commonly used mass-univariate approaches (eg, voxel-based morphometry [VBM]), which rely on conservative statistical thresholds mandated by the large number of voxels compared.
GROUP DIFFERENCES IDENTIFIED BY PARTIAL LEAST-SQUARES ANALYSIS

To confirm by independent analysis the gray matter and white matter systems distinguishing ASD and control groups, we used the statistical technique of partial least-squares (PLS). For implementation, we used PLSGUI software (http://www.rotman-baycrest.on.ca/pls/), which has been extensively described. A permutation test (n = 300) was used to evaluate the association (denoted by d) between regional gray and white matter volume and group membership. Brain systems strongly correlated with group membership were visualized by thresholding the correlations at each voxel at an arbitrary level, |r| > 0.15 and a minimum cluster size of 75 voxels (see eAppendix for details).

RELATING BEHAVIORAL VARIATION TO BRAIN ANATOMY

The relationships between regional anatomic abnormalities and domains of symptom severity were explored using Pearson correlation coefficients. Within the ASD group, we examined correlations between gray matter within regions showing a significant between-group difference and the 3 domains of the ADI-R measuring past symptoms at ages 4 to 5 years and the total ADOS scores (communication + social interaction) of current symptom severity.

RESULTS

PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

There were no significant differences (2-tailed) between the ASD and control groups with regard to age (t₁₇₆ = −1.72, P = .07) or full-scale IQ (t₁₇₆ = −1.82, P = .09). However, the groups differed significantly in performance IQ (t₁₇₆ = −3.82, P < .001). Also, as expected, in line with previous studies, there was a significant group difference in Autism Spectrum Quotient (t₁₇₆ = 13.91, P < .001).

GLOBAL BRAIN MEASURES

There were no significant group differences in total volume of brain, gray matter, and white matter (Table 2). Using the Levene test, we found no evidence of heterogeneity of variance in total brain volume (F₁₇₆ = 2.53, P = .11), gray matter volume (F₁₇₆ = 0.01, P = .93), or white matter volume (F₁₇₆ = 0.32, P = .56) (see also Figure 1). Within the control group (but not the ASD group), total white matter volume decreased significantly with age (rₙₐ = −0.22, P = .02). However, there were no significant age-related differences between group differences in global brain measures.

BEFORE-TIME DIFFERENCES IN REGIONAL GRAY MATTER (VBM)

The voxelwise comparison of gray matter volume between groups revealed significant differences in 9 extensive clusters (permutation test significance, P = .004, Table 3). Compared with controls, individuals with ASD had a significantly greater (excess) volume in bilateral anterior temporal regions (approximate Brodmann area
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Between-Group Differences

IN REGIONAL WHITE MATTER (VBM)

There were 4 clusters of significant white matter decreases in people with ASD relative to the control individuals (permutation test significance, \(P = .005\); Figure 3 and Table 4). The decreased white matter volume could broadly be allocated to the (1) corticospinal and cerebellar tracts; (2) frontal connections, including the uncinate fasciculus and the fronto-occipital fasciculus; (3) internal capsule comprising descending frontostriatal and thalamocortical ascending projections; and (4) arcuate fasciculus connecting the Broca and Wernicke areas.

Differences in Neural Systems (PLS)

The PLS analysis revealed a significant correlation between group membership (ASD vs controls) and gray matter and white matter volume \(d = 48.82,\) permutation test, \(P = .002\). As expected, the anatomic map of voxels significantly positively and negatively correlated with group membership was highly spatially distributed (Figure 4). In the positively correlated system (Table 5), ASD was associated with increased gray matter volume. This network comprised similar regions of bilateral excess gray matter, as reported in the subsection, “Between-Group Differences in Regional Gray Matter (VBM)” for the voxwise comparison plus a set of structures including the cerebellum, inferior parietal lobe, anterior and midcingulate cortex, supplementary motor area, and dorsal and ventral medial prefrontal cortex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume, L</th>
<th>ASD Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>(t_{\text{df}})</th>
<th>(P) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total brain</td>
<td>1.24 (0.09)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.08)</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total GM</td>
<td>0.62 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.07)</td>
<td>−0.8</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total WM</td>
<td>0.62 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.03)</td>
<td>−1.2</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ASD, autism spectrum disorder; GM, gray matter; WM, white matter.

Figure 1. Box plots of global brain measures across the autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and control groups for total gray matter (GM), total white matter (WM), and total brain volume. The horizontal line near the middle of each box indicates the median, while the top and bottom borders of the box mark the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The whiskers above and below the box mark the range of the distribution (maximum and minimum, respectively).

In the negatively correlated system, ASD was associated with reduced gray matter volume. In addition to regions of gray matter deficit, also reported with for the voxelwise comparison, this system included bilateral cerebellum, lateral orbitofrontal cortex, left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (BA8), right supramarginal gyrus (BA40), and left globus pallidus extending into the amygdala.

The anatomic PLS map of white matter voxels significantly correlated with group membership was similar to the regions of white matter deficit identified by the voxelwise comparison (see the eAppendix and eFigures 3 and 4 for details).

Correlation Between Behavioral Variation and Brain Anatomy

Within the ASD group, there were significant negative correlations between the occipital cluster, where individuals with ASD displayed a significant decrease in gray matter volume, and higher scores in both the ADI-R social \((r = −0.24, P = .01)\) and communication \((r = −0.24, P = .01)\) domains (Table 6). We also observed a significant negative correlation between the repetitive domain of the ADI-R and gray matter volume of the left frontal cluster \((r = −0.18, P = .04)\). Thus, individuals with more severe autistic symptoms in these domains at the age of 4 to 5 years displayed significantly larger gray matter deficits in the occipital lobe, whereas larger gray matter excesses in the frontal lobe were associated with more severe repetitive symptoms. However, correlations were significant at a threshold uncorrected for multiple comparisons and should hence be interpreted as trends.

Within the ASD group, a significant positive correlation was observed between the social domain of the ADI-R and gray matter increases in the left temporal cluster \((r = 0.23, P = .01)\) (Figure 5). These data indicate that individuals with greater social difficulties at a young age display an increase in temporal gray matter volume. No significant correlations were found between volume and any of the ADOS domain scores.
We report results from what we believe to be the first large-scale multicenter MRI study to investigate the neuroanatomy of ASD in a well-characterized sample of men meeting the ADI-R research diagnostic criteria for childhood autism. In this sample, men with ASD did not differ significantly from those in the control group on global volume measures but displayed regionally specific differences in gray matter and white matter volume. Individuals with ASD showed increased gray matter in the anterior temporal and dorsolateral prefrontal regions but decreased gray matter volume in the occipital and medial parietal regions. In addition, the large-scale gray matter systems associated with ASD in adults comprised the cingulate gyrus, supplementary motor area, basal ganglia, amygdala, inferior parietal lobule, and cerebellum, as well as dorsolateral prefrontal, lateral orbitofrontal, and dorsal and ventral medial prefrontal cortices. Variation in gray matter volume correlated with specific symptom domains within the ASD group. Furthermore, we found that ASD in adults was accompanied by spatially distributed reductions in regional white matter volume. Our findings support the suggestion that regional neuroanatomic abnormalities in ASD persist into adulthood and are linked to specific autistic symptoms.

**TOTAL BRAIN VOLUME IN ASD DURING ADULTHOOD**

We first found that high-functioning individuals with ASD do not have an increase in overall brain volume.
during adulthood. This is consistent with the notion that the abnormality in total brain size reported by others during early postnatal life “normalizes” by later life. In typical development, total brain volume plateaus at approximately age 13 years and starts to decrease in early adulthood. However, the neurodevelopmental trajectory for total brain volume is atypical in ASD, with an increased rate of macrocephaly.

Figure 2. Brain map (voxel-based morphometry) of significant gray matter differences in autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (P=.004). Relative excesses in gray matter volume in adults with ASD compared with control participants are displayed in orange/red for temporal cluster and frontal cluster; deficits are displayed in blue for occipital cluster. The maps are oriented with the left side of the brain shown on the left side of each panel. The z-coordinates for each axial section in the standard space of Montreal Neurological Institute coordinates are reported in millimeters. L indicates left; R, right. The numbers at each end of the color key are given in t values.

Figure 3. Brain map (voxel-based morphometry) of significant white matter differences in autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (P=.005). Relative deficits in white matter volume in adults with ASD compared with control individuals are displayed in blue; no excesses were observed. The maps are oriented with the left side of the brain shown on the left side of each panel. The z-coordinates for each axial section in the standard space of Montreal Neurological Institute coordinates are reported in millimeters. L indicates left; R, right. The numbers at each end of the color key are given in t values.
accompanied by a larger brain volume and/or more rapid brain growth than in healthy individuals during early postnatal life. This initial “overgrowth” in infants with ASD may then be followed by a deceleration during later childhood so that no differences in total brain volume are expected during adulthood. Such abnormal brain enlargement is disproportionately accounted for by a relatively larger increase in total white matter than gray matter, with each displaying a differential growth trajectory. Although total gray matter volume reaches a peak before adulthood, white matter continues on a linear upward trend during adolescence. Our study is therefore consistent with the proposal that total brain volume and its 2 constituents (ie, gray matter and white matter) have normalized by later life, and hence agrees with some (but not all) studies of whole brain volume in people with ASD during adolescence and adulthood. Furthermore, there were no significant between-group differences in age-related effects on total brain volume. These results suggest that, in adulthood, global brain measures are unaffected in individuals with ASD without intellectual disability.

### REGIONAL INCREASES IN GRAY MATTER VOLUME

In contrast to earlier development, when most of the neuroanatomic differences may be related to global differences, most of the differences in ASD in adults appear to be linked to specific neural systems. Local differences in neuroanatomy were initially investigated with VBM. We found that people with ASD displayed significant differences in the anatomy of a number of brain regions. One region with significantly increased gray matter volume was the anterior temporal lobe (overlapping with the superior temporal pole). Left-hemisphere increases in this region were correlated with increased social, but not repetitive or communicative, symptom severity observed on the ADI-R. Prior studies have detected gray matter differences in this area across childhood, adolescence, and young adulthood. In addition, the temporal pole has attracted much attention as being integral for high-level social cognitive processes, such as mentalizing or theory of mind and semantic processing. Autism studies using functional MRI suggest that the recruit-
ment of anterior temporal lobe/temporal pole is atypical across social cognitive tasks with mentalizing demands such as irony processing, emotional introspection, attributing mental states to geometric shapes as well as language tasks with semantic demands, and stimulus-oriented processing. Therefore, this finding further corroborates the important role of anterior temporal regions in mediating autism-related impairments, specifically in the social domain, during adulthood.

We also observed increased gray matter volume in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and the dorsal precentral and postcentral gyrus. Prior research reported that people with ASD have differences in frontal lobe neuronal integrity, function, anatomy, and connectivity. In addition, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and precentral and postcentral gyrus have been reported as atypical in ASD, tapping a variety of “control” processes, such as overcoming prepotent motor responses, visually guided saccades, smooth pursuit, and saccade inhibition, fine motor sequencing, and visuomotor learning. These areas are part of a general hierarchical cognitive control network and are particularly important for executive function in ASD.

Given that there may be delay in frontal lobe maturation, in addition to being implicated in executive dysfunction in autism, such frontal abnormalities may underpin some of the impairments in the repetitive behavior domain. In this study, we found that the volume of the left dorsolateral prefrontal cluster covaried with the severity of symptoms in the repetitive domain of the ADI-R in the ASD group. This result agrees with previous neuropsychological and neuroimaging studies suggesting that abnormalities in frontostriatal-thalamic circuitry mediating some of the repetitive behaviors typically found in ASD may overlap with mediating symptoms observed in people with obsessive-compulsive disorder. We also found significant volumetric differences in the basal ganglia and thalamus in our sample of men with ASD, which substantiates these previous observations. Our results therefore add to increasing evidence that individuals with ASD have abnormalities in frontostriatal systems extending into adulthood and that structural abnormalities in frontal regions are related to the severity of ritualistic repetitive behavior observed in ASD.

**REGIONAL DECREASES IN GRAY MATTER VOLUME**

Individuals with ASD had a significant decrease in gray matter volume in a large cluster located in the occipital and medial parietal regions. In addition, variation in this cluster was associated with the severity of social and communication symptoms in ASD. These results are consistent with the role of medial parietal regions such as the posterior cingulate cortex/precuneus in mentalizing, theory of mind, emotion, and projection processes critical for social development. Increasing MRI evidence in autism has also been reported for both functional and anatomic differences in the occipital cortex. For example, hyperactivation (ASD > controls) of low-level visual cortices overlapping with our VBM result has been observed across a variety of tasks tapping visuospatial (eg,
motion processing, embedded figures, visuospatial learning, matrix reasoning, and stimulus-oriented processing) and language processing (eg, lexical decision and text comprehension with visual imagery demands). Thus, one possible explanation for enhanced perceptual function in some individuals with autism may be linked to structural variation of cortices processing bottom-up information. Some studies have also suggested that neuroanatomic abnormalities in the visual cortex may at least partially contribute to some of

### Table 5. Anatomic Details for Brain Systems Where GM Volume Was Positively or Negatively Correlated With Group Membership (PLS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>Hemisphere</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>r&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Positive Correlations Between GM Volume and Group Membership (ASD &lt; Control)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellar tonsil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampal gyrus</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-36</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform gyrus (anterior)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle temporal gyrus</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricle medial prefrontal cortex</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplementary motor area</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal medial prefrontal cortex</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Negative Correlations Between GM Volume and Group Membership (ASD &gt; Control)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>-48</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle occipital gyrus</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-82</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform gyrus (posterior)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingual gyrus</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-74</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior parietal lobe</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precentral gyrus</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior cingulate</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulate gyrus</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-78</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supramarginal gyrus</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle frontal gyrus</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** ASD, autism spectrum disorder; BA, Brodmann area; GM, gray matter; L, left; MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute; PLS, partial least-squares; R, right.

<sup>a</sup>Strength of association between group membership and GM volume at cluster centroid.
the characteristic social abnormalities in ASD. Poor processing of eye gaze and facial expression, for instance, relies heavily on primary visual processes, which, if impaired, may have significant detrimental effects on the ability to communicate socially. Our study therefore suggests that the medial parietal and occipital cortex are key brain structures in ASD and that structural variations in this region may be related to enhanced visuospatial processing, perceptual function, and/or social-communication deficits.

Table 6. Correlation Coefficients Between Significant Gray Matter Clusters and Diagnostic Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>ADI-R Social (n = 89)</th>
<th>ADI-R Communication (n = 89)</th>
<th>ADI-R Repetitive Behavior (n = 89)</th>
<th>ADI-R Total (n = 89)</th>
<th>ADOS Total (n = 86)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Right temporal</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Left temporal</td>
<td>0.23&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Right frontal</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Left frontal</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.18&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Occipital</td>
<td>-0.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.25&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ADI-R, Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised; ADOS, Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule.

<sup>a</sup>Significant correlation at P < .05 (uncorrected for multiple comparisons); there were no significant correlations between regional volumes and ADOS subscales.

Figure 5. Scatterplots of the relationships between regional gray matter volumes in clusters of significant between-group difference and autistic symptom domains measured by the Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised (ADI-R) in the autism spectrum disorder (ASD) group. A, Correlation between the temporal cluster (ASD > control) and symptoms in the social domain. B, Correlation between occipital cluster (ASD > control) and symptoms in the social domain. C, Correlation between occipital cluster (ASD > control) and symptoms in the communication domain. D, Correlation between frontal cluster (ASD > control) and symptoms in the repetitive domain.
GRAY MATTER SYSTEMS ASSOCIATED WITH ASD

Autistic spectrum disorder is a highly heterogeneous disorder with multifactorial etiologic characteristics. More recent theoretical models therefore suggest the need to consider ASD as a disorder of several large-scale neurocognitive networks. Regional or voxel-level analytic methods may, however, not be optimal for detecting differences that are theoretically expected at a more distributed level. Subsequent to VBM, we therefore used a multi-voxel approach (PLS) to identify gray matter systems maximally correlated with ASD.

Partial least-squares analysis revealed a spatially distributed network of regions where gray matter volume was highly correlated with ASD. This pattern included regions detected by the voxelwise approach but also identified an additional set of network components such as the cerebellum; and dorsolateral, orbital, and ventral medial prefrontal cortex, and limbic regions, such as the cingulate cortex and amygdala. All these network components have been reported, and some have been related to symptoms. For example, differences in limbic regions have been linked to impairments in socioemotional processing and face processing; the medial prefrontal cortex is critical for typically developing social cognition and empathy; and has also been linked to atypical mentalizing or theory of mind and self-referential cognition in ASD. These regions should hence be considered part of the wider neural systems mediating autistic symptoms and traits.

Notably, PLS analysis identified networks of positive and negative associations with group membership, often in close spatial proximity (eg, within the cerebellum). This further supports the notion that our results do not reflect global differences in neuroanatomy but indicate subtle and spatially distinct networks of regions implicated in adults with ASD. Given the composition of the sample, these neural systems likely reflect the end result of atypical cortical development of gray matter rather than represent the primary neuropathologic characteristics of the condition, which is best investigated in younger age groups. Large longitudinal studies are therefore required to disentangle the effects of pathologic factors and brain maturation in ASD, as well as to isolate which neuroanatomic changes are primary and which are secondary to the condition.

WHITEx MATTER DIFFERENCES IN ASD

The neuroanatomic differences observed for gray matter were accompanied by spatially distributed reductions in white matter volume. White matter abnormalities have been reported in individuals with ASD. For example, studies found that people with ASD have significant differences in white matter volume and microstructural integrity as measured by diffusion tensor MRI. Furthermore, it has been reported that individuals with ASD undergo abnormal postnatal white matter development. Such prior reports mostly highlight significant increases in white matter during early childhood, which may precede the abnormal pattern of growth in gray matter. Similar to other investigators, however, we found that during adulthood, individuals with ASD predominantly displayed a pattern of regional white matter reductions. This discrepancy could be caused by differences in neurodevelopmental trajectories of white matter between groups, although large longitudinal data sets would be required to further elucidate such age×group interactions.

White matter deficits in ASD have often been interpreted as one of the neurobiologic foundations of “atypical connectivity” theories in autism. Disconnection syndromes are generally defined as disorders of higher function resulting from a “disconnecting” breakdown of associative connections through white matter lesions. More specifically, it has been suggested that in ASD, higher-order association areas typically connected to the frontal lobe are atypically connected (ie, both underconnectivity and overconnectivity) during development and that people with ASD have pervasive core processing deficits resulting from a “developmental disconnection syndrome.” For example, it has been reported that functional connectivity of medial temporal lobe structures is abnormal in people with Asperger syndrome during fearful face processing. There is also evidence that anatomic underconnectivity between frontal and parietal areas affects executive functioning and is accompanied by abnormalities in connecting fibers, including the corpus callosum, and differences in the neurodevelopmental trajectory of white matter in ASD on the global and regional level.

Our findings support the notion that adults with ASD have atypical connectivity (in white matter volume) in addition to local differences in gray matter volume. Thus, although it is difficult to link specific cognitive functions to white matter deficits, altered brain connectivity, together with the structural alterations within specific gray matter regions, may explain some of the behavioral features observed in ASD.

METHODOLOGIC CONSIDERATIONS

Our study raises a number of methodologic issues. First, we investigated neuroanatomy in a sample of high-functioning men, using the ADI-R as a diagnostic tool, which is not representative of all individuals on the autism spectrum. The ADI-R rather than ADOS scores were chosen as exclusion criteria because current symptoms assessed in adult samples can often be masked by coping strategies developed as the person ages and can also be alleviated by treatments/interventions (eg, social skills training). Hence, it is not uncommon for individuals to meet ADI-R but not ADOS diagnostic criteria during adulthood. Our sample therefore represents a subpopulation of the autistic phenotype, and results should be interpreted in light of this. In addition, we did not distinguish between putative subtypes of ASD (eg, high-functioning autism and Asperger syndrome). Evidence suggests that, by adulthood, these groups are largely indistinguishable clinically or cognitively. However, the extent to which these groups differ at the level of brain anatomy is unknown and requires investigation.

Second, a multicenter design was used for MRI data acquisition to overcome single-site recruitment limita-
tions. A recently developed acquisition protocol that standardizes structural MRI data across multiple platforms and acquisition parameters was used.\(^\text{39}\) Such quantitative imaging\(^\text{122-124}\) holds a number of advantages over conventional qualitative T1-weighted imaging because it not only minimizes intersite variance but also offers improved signal-to-noise contrast. In addition, intersite effects were accounted for in the statistical model.\(^\text{125,126}\) Therefore, the detected between-group differences cannot be fully explained by these limitations.

Finally, the voxelwise analysis has inherent limitations. For instance, cortical volume comprises 2 subcomponents (cortical thickness and surface area), which in turn have different cellular components and developmental determinants.\(^\text{127}\) Research is needed to determine which specific aspects of the cortical morphologic characteristics are causing the observed differences in tissue concentration, as well as how these relate to autistic symptoms.

In summary, our results suggest that adults with ASD do not have a significant increase in overall brain volume, but they do have regional differences in brain anatomy, which are correlated with specific autistic symptoms. We also found that ASD is associated with distributed abnormalities of both gray matter and white matter volume in cortical and subcortical systems, and this is compatible with the concept of autism as a brain dis-nectivity/underconnectivity syndrome.
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